

## Document Log Item

<b>Addressing</b>	
<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>
Carl Goldstein/R9/USEPA/US	Doug Eberhardt/R9/USEPA/US@EPA Doug Eberhardt/R9/USEPA/US
<b>CC</b>	<b>BCC</b>
<b>Description</b>	
Form Used: Memo	
<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date/Time</b>
FYI:: DMRs for StarKist and COS Samoa Packing (American Samoa)	06/04/2008 04:49 PM
<b># of Attachments</b>	<b>Total Bytes</b>
0	7,149
<b>NPM</b>	<b>Contributor</b>
	Marcela VonVacano
<b>Processing</b>	
<b>Comments</b>	

Body

## Document Body

----- Forwarded by Carl Goldstein/R9/USEPA/US on 06/04/2008 04:48 PM -----

"Steven Costa"

<glatzeldacosta@suddenlink.net>

06/04/2008 04:39 PM

Please respond to "Steven Costa" <glatzeldacosta@suddenlink.net>
--

To:Liliana Christophe/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc:"Joe Carney" <Joe.Carney@delmonte.com>, "Samual Augspurger" <saugspurger@sampac.com>, "Jim Cox" <jcox@cosintl.com>, "Tim Ruby" <Tim.Ruby@delmonte.com>, Carl Goldstein/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
Subject:DMRs for StarKist and COS Samoa Packing (American Samoa)

Liliana,

Carl forwarded the new DMR forms for the American Samoa Canneries (AS0000027 [COS] and AS0000019 [StarKist]) to me for review because I typically assist the canneries with NPDES permit compliance issues. I have a couple of questions and comments on the forms:

[1] BOD is monitoring only for both canneries, however the DMR for StarKist requires reporting loading and the DMR for COS requires the reporting of concentration. Should these not be the same since it is a common outfall into a single water body? I believe they should both be reporting concentration based on the permits (the units are listed in mg/l in both permits).

[2] The reporting for ammonia is listed differently in the two sets of DMRs. The COS DMR requires reporting of "Ammonia (as N) +unionized ammonia" and the StarKist Permit requires "Nitrogen, ammonia total (as N)". The permits require monitoring "Total ammonia (as N)". I believe these are all the same thing, but since the parameter numbers are different I am not sure. They should be consistent?

[3] On the COS DMRs, be advised that Herman Gebauer is no longer there. I am not sure that on the StarKist DMRs Joe Carney is the appropriate recipient. I am copying this email to the canneries and will let them respond as to the appropriate recipient of the DMR forms.

[4] The frequency of analysis specification on the COS DMRs is a bit confusing (I am not sure exactly what is intended). It seems to me that the specifications should be the same as on the StarKist Permit.

I reviewed the listed permit limitations on the DMRs and found them all consistent with the permit except for BOD as noted in Item [1] above.

Please give me a call if any of this is not clear or we need to discuss further.

Steve Costa  
Karen Glatzel

216 Driftwood Lane  
PO Box 1238  
Trinidad, CA 95570-1238

707-677-0123 (CA)  
510-508-5020 (cell)